Sinclair Broadcast Group controls much of the public airwaves and is planning to air a.) a movie and now b.) a portion of a movie showing John Kerry in a negative light. Surely this kind of partisian action should not to be allowed to take place without equal "prime" time for the the other candidate accompanied by the same type of media blitz that has taken place recently. When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for their bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Sinclair is using it's power to to try and control the outcome of the upcoming election for its own benefit - not the Country or the US citizens. The FCC must take a stand and insist on equal time and publicity for both candidates or disallow the film from being presented in any form.

Additionally, instead of something produced at "News Central" (being passed off as local news) far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.